

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,415	07/27/2006	Paul Tee Hui Lee	5502-00001	7288
26753 7590 (8/26/2009) ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100			EXAMINER	
			MAI, TRI M	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3781	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Comment	10/587,415	LEE ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tri M. Mai	3781				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Tri M. Mai</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Ed Williams</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 28 May 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.						
on several grounds of rejections set forth in the final office action. The examiner submitted that the proposed amendment has numerous new limitations that would require further consideration. Applicant to determine whether to file an an amendment to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Tri M Mai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3781						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Intervie	v Summary	Paper No. 20090528				